



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/733,919	12/11/2003	Andrew Michael Britton	RAMAND 8596	
759	90 07/24/2006		EXAM	INER
DAVID GEORGE JOHNSON			WHITTINGTON, KENNETH	
POST OFFICE BOX 286 AITKIN, MN 56431			ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
,			2862	

DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/733,	919	BRITTON, ANDREW MICHAEL			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Kenneth	J. Whittington	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAISTON SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complete period for reply is specified above, the maximum size to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>08 June 2006</u> .					
	•	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2862

12

18

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed June 8, 2006 has been entered and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeVito et al. (US4,904,921), hereinafter DeVito, in view of Urabe et al. (US6,064,291), hereinafter Urabe. DeVito teaches a linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) position transducer comprising:

a radio frequency oscillator (See FIG. 1, item 26 and see col. 8, lines 11-24);

an oscillator coil, the coil being electrically connected to the oscillator so as to emit a magnetic field in a region surrounding the coil (See FIG. 1, item 14);

a first and second input coil residing within the magnetic field, generating first and second output signals, respectively, in response to a disturbance in the magnetic field (See FIG. 1, items 16 and 18, creating signals A and B, see also claim 1);

a signal processor measuring a ratio of the first and second signals to determine a physical location of an item

Art Unit: 2862

6

12

18

causing the disturbance in the magnetic field (See claim 1, note it discloses a ratio of the first and second signals multiplied by a scalar to determine position of the core).

However, DeVito does not explicitly teach the use of a pair of oscillator coils, each being electrically connected in an electrically parallel relationship. Urabe discloses a transformer design using a plurality of excitation coils in a transformer which are electrically interconnected and in an electrically parallel relationship (See Urabe FIG. 2, note coil 21 is divided into three parallel coils 21a-c). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the parallel coils of the transformer of Urabe into the linear variable differential transformer of DeVito. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to allow for narrower wire to be used in the windings and to suppress temperature problems (See Urabe col. 4, lines 42-53).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeVito. DeVito teaches a linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) position transducer comprising:

a radio frequency oscillator (See FIG. 1, item 26 and see col. 8, lines 11-24);

6

12

18

an oscillator coil, the coil being electrically connected to the oscillator so as to emit a magnetic field in a region surrounding the coil, the coil comprising a plurality of coils interconnected in a series relationship (See FIG. 1, item 14, note full coil comprises a series of small single loop coils);

Page 4

a first and second input coil residing within the magnetic field, generating first and second output signals, respectively, in response to a disturbance in the magnetic field (See FIG. 1, items 16 and 18, creating signals A and B, see also claim 1);

a signal processor measuring a ratio of the first and second signals to determine a physical location of an item causing the disturbance in the magnetic field (See claim 1, note it discloses a ratio of the first and second signals multiplied by a scalar to determine position of the core).

However, DeVito does not explicitly disclose the particular dimension for the oscillator coils. Nonetheless, modifying DeVito to have the relative dimensions as recited in the claims would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation because where the where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device is not patentably

Application/Control Number: 10/733,919

Art Unit: 2862

distinct from the prior art device. See MPEP 2144.04. One having ordinary skill in the art would thus be motivated to make the oscillator have particular sizes, i.e., the dimensions recited in the claims, depending on the particular requirements of the position sensor.

Page 5

6

18

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection note above.

12 Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US20020089326 and US2,564,221 disclose position detectors and US4,635,019 discloses coil configurations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth J. Whittington whose telephone number is (571) 272-2264. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be

Application/Control Number: 10/733,919

Art Unit: 2862

reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, ca/1 800,-786-9199

(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

th J Whittington

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2862

18 kjw

6

12

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800